# Edna Keith Elem School Joliet PSD 86 Joliet, ILLINOIS

**GRADES: K12345** 



State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

Starting in 2009, charter school information is included in district statistics.

### **STUDENTS**

RACIAL/E	RACIAL/ETHNIC BACKGROUND AND OTHER INFORMATION														
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American	Two or More Races	Percent Low- Income	Percent Limited- English- Proficient	Percent IEP	High Sch. Dropout Rate	Chronic Truancy Rate	Mobility Rate	Attendance Rate	Total Enrollment
School	1.5	63.0	30.0	0.4	0.0	0.2	4.8	88.0	10.7	12.0		35.9	26.4	93.9	476
District	14.9	28.1	51.1	0.6	0.0	0.2	5.1	77.1	19.1	13.2		23.5	18.5	94.5	11,103
State	51.0	18.0	23.6	4.2	0.1	0.3	2.8	49.0	9.4	13.6		8.6	13.1	94.4	2,066,692

**Low-income** students come from families receiving public aid; live in institutions for neglected or delinquent children; are supported in foster homes with public funds; or are eligible to receive free or reduced-price lunches. **IEP** Students are those students eligible to receive special education services.

**Limited-English-proficient** students are those students eligible for transitional bilingual programs. **Mobility rate** is based on the number of times students enroll in or leave a school during the school year. **Chronic truants** are students who are absent from school without valid cause for 9 or more of the last 180 school days.

Total Enrollment is based on Home School.

### **INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING**

PARENTAL CONTACT*										
	Percent									
School	100.0									
District	98.1									
State	95.3									

STUDENT-TO-STAFF RATIOS											
Pupil- Teacher Elementary	Pupil- Teacher Secondary	Pupil- Certified Staff	Pupil- Administrator								
			-								
22.3		15.3	171.3								
18.9		13.7	205.0								

<sup>\*</sup> Parental contact includes parent-teacher conferences, parental visits to school, school visits to home, telephone conversations, and written correspondence.

AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (as of the first school day in May)												
Grades	к	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 - 12		
School	23.3	21.8	19.0	18.2	22.3	19.3						
District	22.7	22.0	21.9	21.9	22.6	23.2						
State	20.9	21.2	21.5	22.0	22.4	22.8						

TIME DEVOTED TO TEACHING CORE SUBJECTS (Minutes Per Day)													
	Mathematics Science English/Language Arts Social Science												
Grades	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8	
School	60			35			120			35			
District	69			33			130			33			
State	61			31			143			30			


2


TEACHER	TEACHER INFORMATION (Full-Time Equivalents)													
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknown	Male	Female	Total Number			
District State	77.7 83.3	10.4 7.1	10.5 5.3	1.2 1.3	0.0 0.1	0.0 0.2	0.2 0.8	0.0 2.0	12.4 23.1	87.6 76.9	624 127,830			

TEACHER INFORMATION ( Continued )												
	Average Teaching Experience (Years)	% of Teachers with Bachelor's Degrees	% of Teachers with Master's & Above	% of Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	% of Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers							
School				0.0	0.0							
District	10.5	59.0	40.6	0.7	0.0							
State	12.9	37.8	61.7	0.6	0.7							

Some teacher/administrator data are not collected at the school level.

### SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES





REVENUE BY SOURCE 2010-11											
	District	District %	State %								
Local Property Taxes	\$35,199,673	25.1	58.2								
Other Local Funding	\$2,955,800	2.1	5.1								
General State Aid	\$49,114,094	35.0	17.1								
Other State Funding	\$33,225,069	23.6	9.5								
Federal Funding	\$19,998,772	14.2	10.1								
TOTAL	\$140,493,408										

EXPENDITURE BY FUND 2010-11											
	District	District %	State %								
Education	\$83,927,261	70.1	73.7								
Operations & Maintenance	\$8,969,956	7.5	5.9								
Transportation	\$7,261,014	6.1	3.8								
Debt Service	\$6,034,409	5.0	7.4								
Tort	\$125,000	0.1	1.2								
Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	\$2,823,654	2.4	2.0								
Fire Prevention & Safety	\$240,276	0.2	0.8								
Capital Projects	\$10,262,889	8.6	5.1								
TOTAL	\$119,644,459										

OTHER FINANCIAL INDICATORS											
	2009 Equalized	2009 Total School	2010-11 Instructional	2010-11 Operating							
	Assessed Valuation	Tax Rate	Expenditure	Expenditure							
	per Pupil	per \$100	per Pupil	per Pupil							
District	\$121,325	2.61	\$5,453	\$9,746							
State	**	**	\$6,824	\$11,664							

<sup>\*\*</sup> Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.


Equalized assessed valuation includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.

Operating expenditure per pupil includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.

#### **ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE**


#### **OVERALL STUDENT PERFORMANCE**


These charts present the overall percentages of state test scores categorized as meeting or exceeding the Illinois Learning Standards for your school, district, and the state. They respresent your school's performance in reading, mathematics, and science.



Total school tax rate is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.

Instructional expenditure per pupil includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.







IAA scores in the Progressing and Attaining performance levels count the same, respectfully, as scores on other state assessments that meet or exceed Standards.


5

#### **ISAT PERFORMANCE**

These charts provide information on attainment of the Illinois Learning Standards. They show the percents of student scores meeting or exceeding Standards for the grades and subjects tested on ISAT.







6

#### **PERFORMANCE ON STATE ASSESSMENTS**

Federal law requires that student achievement results for reading, mathematics, and science for schools providing Title I services be reported to the general public.

The Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) is administered to students in grades 3 through 8. The Prairie State Achievement Examination (PSAE) is administered to students in grade 11. The Illinois Alternate Assessment (IAA) is administered to students with disabilities whose Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) indicate that participation in the ISAT or PSAE would not be appropriate.

Students with disabilities have an IEP (No Child Left Behind Act). An IEP is a written plan for a child with a disability who is eligible to receive special education services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

Reading and Mathematics are tested in grades 3 through 8 and 11. Science is tested in grades 4, 7, and 11.

In order to protect students' identities, test data for groups of fewer than ten students are not reported.

PERCE	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR READING														
	Gender					Racial/Ethnic Background									
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific islander	American	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disadv- antaged
School	*Enrollment	227	102	125	1	141	74	0	0	0	11	17	0	35	204
	Reading	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0				0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0
District	*Enrollment	6,909	3,563	3,346	1,057	2,028	3,423	45	0	8	348	783	0	1,012	5,504
	Reading	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.0			0.0	0.4		0.5	0.2
	*Enrollment	1,072,304	548,690	523,352	547,900	192,977	251,440	45,188	977	3,177	29,968	75,031	261	146,113	531,157
State -	Reading	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.6	2.3	0.9	0.5

<sup>\*</sup> Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3 - 8 and 11.

Number of LEP Students who have attended schools in the U.S. for less than 12 months and are not assessed on the State's reading/language arts test: 0

PERCE	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR MATHEMATICS														
			Ge	nder	Racial/Ethnic Background										
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific islander	American	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disadv- antaged
School	*Enrollment	227	102	125	1	141	74	0	0	0	11	17	0	35	204
School	Mathematics	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0				0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0
District	*Enrollment	6,916	3,566	3,350	1,057	2,029	3,428	45	0	8	349	789	0	1,012	5,512
District	Mathematics	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.0			0.0	0.3		0.4	0.1
State	*Enrollment	1,073,764	549,462	524,040	548,234	193,064	252,013	45,638	983	3,180	29,975	76,502	271	146,133	532,214
State	Mathematics	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.3	1.1	0.9	0.5

<sup>\*</sup> Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3 - 8 and 11.

PERCE	NTAGE OF S	TUDENTS	NOT TEST	ED IN STA	TE TESTIN	IG PROGR	AMS FOR	SCIENCE							
			Ge	nder		F	Racial/Ethni	c Backgro	ound						
	*Enrollment	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disadv- antaged
School	*Enrollment	72	31	41	0	40	28	0	0	0	4	6	0	12	65
	chool Science	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0							0.0	0.0
	*Enrollment	2,266	1,164	1,102	347	669	1,104	20	0	5	121	234	0	327	1,819
District	Science	0.7	0.8	0.6	1.2	1.0	0.4	0.0			0.8	0.4		0.9	0.6
State	*Enrollment	456,721	232,992	223,651	237,912	81,780	103,594	19,211	393	1,359	12,254	25,859	107	61,941	217,988
	State Science	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.5	1.4	0.7	0.3	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.6	1.0

<sup>\*</sup> Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 4, 7, and 11.

#### ILLINOIS STANDARDS ACHIEVEMENT TEST (ISAT)

The following tables show the percentages of student scores in each of four performance levels. These levels were established with the help of Illinois educators who teach the grade levels and learning areas tested. Due to rounding, the sum of the percentages in the four performance levels may not always equal 100.

- Level 1 -- Academic Warning Student work demonstrates limited knowledge and skills in the subject. Because of major gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills ineffectively.
- Level 2 -- Below Standards Student work demonstrates basic knowledge and skills in the subject. However, because of gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills in limited ways.
- Level 3 -- Meets Standards Student work demonstrates proficient knowledge and skills in the subject. Students effectively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems.
- Level 4 -- Exceeds Standards Student work demonstrates advanced knowledge and skills in the subject. Students creatively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems and evaluate the results.

8

### Grade 3

#### Grade 3 - All

		Read	ding			Mather	natics	
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
School District State	13.2 7.6 5.2	32.4 25.5 18.7	44.1 48.3 46.1	10.3 18.6 29.9	7.4 3.2 2.9	17.6 13.6 9.3	66.2 53.8 45.2	8.8 29.3 42.5

#### Grade 3 - Gender

			Rea	ding		Mathematics					
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
Male	School	25.8	35.5	35.5	3.2	12.9	16.1	67.7	3.2		
	District	9.5	28.0	46.6	15.9	3.6	14.2	53.6	28.6		
	State	6.4	20.8	46.1	26.7	3.2	9.3	44.0	43.5		
Female	School	2.7	29.7	51.4	16.2	2.7	18.9	64.9	13.5		
	District	5.6	22.8	50.1	21.5	2.9	13.0	54.1	30.1		
	State	3.9	16.6	46.2	33.3	2.6	9.4	46.6	41.4		

Grade 3 - Racial/Ethnic Background

			Rea	ding			Mather	natics	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
White									
	School								
	District	8.3	15.4	41.4	34.9	0.6	8.9	42.6	47.9
	State	2.5	11.7	45.9	39.9	1.1	4.6	39.2	55.0
Black		40.0	07.0	44.0	4.7	44.0	00.0	00.0	4.7
	School	16.3	37.2	41.9	4.7	11.6	20.9	62.8	4.7
	District	8.8	29.9	47.8	13.5	6.7	19.9	53.7	19.6
	State	9.3	28.3	46.9	15.4	7.1	17.9	53.8	21.2
Hispanic									
	School	4.8	19.0	52.4	23.8	0.0	14.3	66.7	19.0
	District	6.9	27.3	50.1	15.7	2.1	12.0	57.4	28.5
	State	8.3	28.0	47.6	16.1	3.8	13.8	54.7	27.7
Asian									
	School								
	District								
	State	2.0	7.4	36.7	53.9	1.0	3.0	25.1	70.8
	aiian/Pacific								
Islander	School								
	District								
		3.4	7.4	45.9	43.2	2.0	3.4	37.8	56.8
	State	3.4	7.4	45.9	43.2	2.0	3.4	37.8	50.8
American I									
	School								
	District		04.0	45.4	00.0	0.0	40.0	F4.0	
	State	3.9	24.8	45.1	26.2	2.0	12.3	51.0	34.8
Two or Mo									
	School								
	District	7.4	13.2	51.5	27.9	2.9	8.8	52.9	35.3
	State	3.7	15.0	46.7	34.6	2.0	7.9	44.0	46.1

Grade 3 - Economically Disadvantaged

		Rea	ding			Mather	natics	
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Free/Reduced Price Lunch								
School	13.1	31.1	45.9	9.8	6.6	14.8	73.8	4.9
District	8.7	27.8	48.2	15.3	3.6	15.4	56.6	24.4
State	8.3	27.4	48.5	15.9	4.7	14.5	54.3	26.4
Not Eligible								
School								
District	3.6	16.4	48.8	31.2	1.6	6.8	43.2	48.4
State	1.7	9.1	43.5	45.7	0.9	3.5	35.1	60.5

9

### Grade 4

Grade 4 - All

		Rea	ading			Mathematics				Science				
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
School	2.8	38.0	49.3	9.9	1.4	31.0	53.5	14.1	8.5	38.0	47.9	5.6		
District	1.5	32.5	49.7	16.2	1.3	14.7	66.3	17.7	6.2	31.9	54.1	7.7		
State	1.0	23.0	47.1	28.9	1.2	10.7	57.1	31.0	2.6	17.6	59.7	20.1		

Grade 4 - Gender

			Rea	ading		Mathematics				Science			
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Male	School	6.7	40.0	43.3	10.0	3.3	40.0	46.7	10.0	10.0	40.0	43.3	6.7
	District	2.3	37.8	47.1	12.8	1.7	16.1	66.3	15.9	6.6	31.9	53.6	7.9
	State	1.3	26.7	46.8	25.2	1.5	11.4	56.0	31.1	2.9	17.4	57.4	22.3
Female	School	0.0	36.6	53.7	9.8	0.0	24.4	58.5	17.1	7.3	36.6	51.2	4.9
	District	0.7	27.3	52.3	19.7	1.0	13.3	66.2	19.5	5.9	31.9	54.7	7.5
	State	0.6	19.2	47.4	32.8	0.9	9.9	58.4	30.8	2.3	17.9	62.0	17.8

Grade 4 - Racial/Ethnic Background

			Rea	ding	_		Mather	natics	_		Scie	ence	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
White													
	School	0.5	04.4	50.4	05.0	4.0		500		0.0	40.0	00.0	40.0
	District	0.5	21.1	52.4	25.9	1.6	9.1	58.6	30.6	3.3	19.0	60.9	16.8
	State	0.5	14.3	46.9	38.3	0.6	6.0	53.6	39.8	1.0	9.6	61.2	28.2
Black	School	2.6	46.2	43.6	7.7	2.6	33.3	56.4	7.7	7.7	46.2	43.6	2.6
	District	2.0	40.1	46.2	11.7	2.3	20.6	67.7	9.3	9.4	40.5	46.6	3.5
	State	2.0	39.0	45.6	13.5	3.0	20.9	61.8	14.3	6.1	33.8	54.1	6.0
Hispanic	Cabaal	3.6	28.6	E2 C	14.3	0.0	28.6	46.4	25.0	7.1	32.1	50.0	10.7
•	School			53.6					25.0	7.1	-	50.0	
	District	1.7	31.1	50.8	16.4 16.4	0.5	12.1 14.5	68.3	19.1	4.9 3.8	31.6 24.6	56.0	7.5
	State	1.3	32.3	50.0	10.4	1.4	14.5	64.7	19.4	3.8	24.0	61.8	9.9
Asian													
	School												
	District	0.0	27.3	54.5	18.2	9.1	9.1	45.5	36.4	18.2	9.1	54.5	18.2
	State	0.4	9.1	38.2	52.3	0.6	3.3	35.9	60.2	1.4	7.3	52.6	38.7
	/aiian/Pacific												
Islander	School												
	District												
	State	0.8	14.4	48.8	36.0	0.0	6.3	50.0	43.8	0.8	13.4	58.3	27.6
American I	ndian School												
	District												
	State	1.0	25.5	51.6	22.0	1.4	10.5	64.2	23.9	3.3	21.5	60.4	14.8
Two or Mo	re Races School												
	District	0.0	38.2	50.0	11.8	1.5	22.1	66.2	10.3	7.4	30.9	57.4	4.4
	State	0.6	19.4	46.7	33.3	0.8	9.1	55.8	34.3	1.9	15.1	58.6	24.4

10

Grade 4 - Students with Disabilities

		Reading					Mathematics				Science			
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
IEP	School	9.1	81.8	9.1	0.0	9.1	63.6	27.3	0.0	18.2	63.6	18.2	0.0	
	District State	6.2 5.3	70.8 55.8	21.5 30.7	1.5 8.2	4.6 6.1	36.9 29.7	54.6 53.2	3.8 11.0	14.8 7.7	46.1 34.4	36.7 49.9	2.3 8.1	
Non-IEP	School	1.7	30.0	56.7	11.7	0.0	25.0	58.3	16.7	6.7	33.3	53.3	6.7	
	District	0.9	27.8	53.2	18.0	0.9	12.0	67.7	19.4	5.2	30.2	56.2	8.4	
	State	0.3	18.2	49.5	32.0	0.5	7.9	57.7	33.9	1.9	15.2	61.1	21.8	

Grade 4 - Economically Disadvantaged

		Rea	nding		Mathematics				Science			
Levels	1	1 2 3 4				2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Free/Reduced Price Lunch School District State	3.1 1.9 1.6	37.5 36.3 34.2	48.4 49.2 49.0	10.9 12.6 15.2	1.6 1.5 2.0	32.8 16.4 16.5	51.6 68.4 64.2	14.1 13.7 17.4	9.4 7.1 4.3	39.1 35.6 26.7	45.3 51.7 59.7	6.3 5.6 9.4
Not Eligible School District State	0.0 0.3	16.3 10.9	52.0 45.1	31.7 43.7	0.4 0.4	7.5 4.5	57.5 49.5	34.6 45.6	2.2 0.8	16.3 7.9	64.8 59.7	16.7 31.6

## Grade 5

Grade 5 - All

		Read	ding			Mather	natics	
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
School District State	0.0 0.1 0.2	52.6 31.4 22.0	37.2 52.3 47.2	10.3 16.3 30.6	1.3 0.7 0.6	33.3 18.9 15.7	64.1 73.6 65.9	1.3 6.8 17.7

Grade 5 - Gender

			Rea	ding			Mathe	matics	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Male	School	0.0	68.6	22.9	8.6	2.9	42.9	51.4	2.9
	District	0.2	38.8	46.2	14.8	1.2	20.8	70.7	7.3
	State	0.2	25.5	47.4	26.9	0.8	16.8	64.3	18.1
Female	School	0.0	39.5	48.8	11.6	0.0	25.6	74.4	0.0
	District	0.0	23.6	58.6	17.8	0.2	16.9	76.7	6.2
	State	0.1	18.4	47.0	34.5	0.5	14.6	67.6	17.2

11

Grade 5 - Racial/Ethnic Background

			Rea	ding		Mathematics				
	Levels		2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
White										
	School									
	District	0.0	18.0	52.3	29.7	0.0	9.3	76.2	14.5	
	State	0.1	12.7	46.3	41.0	0.3	9.1	66.6	24.0	
Black	School	0.0	58.5	32.1	9.4	1.9	37.7	60.4	0.0	
	District	0.0	41.8	48.2	9.7	1.5	31.0	63.8	3.6	
	State	0.3	38.1	48.0	13.5	1.5	30.3	63.1	5.0 5.1	
Uiononio	Otate	0.4	30.1	40.0	10.0	1.0	30.0	00.1	0.1	
Hispanic	School	0.0	42.9	47.6	9.5	0.0	23.8	71.4	4.8	
	District	0.0	30.6	54.9	14.5	0.3	15.6	77.9	6.2	
	State	0.0	32.5	49.8	17.5	0.8	21.0	69.6	8.6	
Asian										
	School									
	District									
	State	0.1	9.2	39.1	51.7	0.3	5.3	49.5	44.9	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific										
Islander	School									
	District State	0.0	12.6	50.5	36.9	0.0	9.1	69.1	21.8	
American Inc		0.0	12.0	30.3	30.3	0.0	J. I	03.1	21.0	
Americanini	School									
	District									
	State	0.2	26.3	53.8	19.6	0.5	20.6	67.2	11.7	
Two or More	Races									
	School									
	District	0.0	20.4	49.0	30.6	2.0	14.3	79.6	4.1	
	State	0.2	16.5	46.9	36.4	0.5	13.1	64.9	21.4	

Grade 5 - Economically Disadvantaged

		Rea	ding	Mathematics				
Levels	1 2 3 4				1	2	3	4
Free/Reduced Price Lunch								
School	0.0	52.1	38.4	9.6	1.4	32.9	64.4	1.4
District	0.1	34.3	53.4	12.1	0.7	21.3	72.4	5.6
State	0.3	33.5	49.7	16.5	1.0	24.0	67.6	7.4
Not Eligible								
School								
District	0.0	16.7	46.4	37.0	0.5	7.3	79.7	12.5
State	0.1	10.0	44.5	45.5	0.2	7.1	64.2	28.4

### 2012 ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) STATUS REPORT

Is this school making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?	No
Is this school making AYP in Reading?	No
Is this school making AYP in Mathematics?	No

Has this school been identified for School Improvement according to the AYP specifications of the federal No Child Left Behind Act?							
2012-13 Federal Improvement Status Restructuring							
2012-13 State Improvement Status Academic Watch Status Year 2							

Ī	Percent Tested on State Tests			Percent Meeting/Exceeding Standards *						Other Indicators				
	Reading Mathematics			Reading M			Mathematics		Attendance Rate		5-YEAR Graduation Rate			
	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP
State AYP Minimum Target	95.0		95.0		85.0			85.0			91.0		82.0	
All	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	55.8		No	71.0		No	93.9	Yes		
White														
Black	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	48.5	50.0	Yes	66.4	58.8	Yes	93.2			
Hispanic	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	68.1	57.3	Yes	77.8	79.5	Yes	95.3			
Asian														
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander American Indian Two or More Races														
LEP														
Students with Disabilities														
Economically Disadvantaged	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	56.3	52.3	Yes	71.1	65.1	Yes	93.8			

Four Conditions Are Required For Making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP):

- 1. At least 95% tested in reading and mathematics for every student group. If the current year participation rate is less than 95%, this condition may be met if the average of the current and preceding year rates is at least 95%, or if the average of the current and two preceding years is at least 95%. Only actual participation rates are printed. If the participation rate printed is less than 95% and yet this school makes AYP, it means that the 95% condition was met by averaging.
- 2. At least 85% meeting/exceeding standards in reading and mathematics for every group. For any group with less than 85% meeting/exceeding standards, a 95% confidence interval was applied. Subgroups may meet this condition through Safe Harbor provisions. \*\*\*
- 3. At least 91% attendance rate for non-high schools and at least 82% graduation rate for high schools.

<sup>\*</sup> Includes only students enrolled as of 05/01/2011.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Safe Harbor Targets of 85% or above are not printed.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Subgroups with fewer than 45 students are not reported. Safe Harbor only applies to subgroups of 45 or more. In order for Safe Harbor to apply, a subgroup must decrease by 10% the percentage of scores that did not meet state standards from the previous year plus meet the other indicators (attendance rate for non-high schools and graduation rate for high schools) for the subgroup. For subgroups that do not meet their Safe Harbor Targets, a 75% confidence interval is applied. Safe Harbor allows schools an alternate method to meet subgroup minimum targets on achievement.

### 2012 DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY CLASSIFICATION

The Differentiated Accountability classification for the school is:	Comprehensive		
Is this school making (AYP) in the "ALL" subgroup in reading?	No		
Is this school making (AYP) in the "ALL" subgroup in math?	No		

In 2008, the Illinois State Board of Education (ISBE) was one of 6 states to be chosen by the US Department of Education to participate on the Differentiated Accountability Pilot Program. The Differentiated Accountability classification **applies only to schools in federal improvement status that do not make AYP.** 

The classification is a descriptor (i.e., focused or comprehensive) that is added to a school's improvement status. Current Title I requirements do not change. The classification assists in distinguishing between schools that need focused supports verses more comprehensive interventions.

If a school does make AYP in ALL-student group in both reading and math, this school will be classified as a focused school; otherwise, the school will be identified as a comprehensive school.